arXiv:0807.4316v1 [math.OA] 27 Jul 2008

Complete description of derivations on τ-compact operators for type I von Neumann algebras

S. Albeverio [1], Sh. A. Ayupov [2,*], K. K. Kudaybergenov [3], T. S. Kalandarov [4]

July 27, 2008

Abstract

Given a type I von Neumann algebra M with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ, let $S_0(M,\tau)$ be the algebra of all τ-compact operators affiliated with M. We give a complete description of all derivations on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$. In particular, we prove that if M is of type I_∞ then every derivation on $S_0(M,\tau)$ is spatial.

[1] Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bonn, Wegelerstr. 6, D-53115 Bonn (Germany); SFB 611, BiBoS; CERFIM (Locarno); Acc. Arch. (USI), *albeverio@uni-bonn.de*

[2] Institute of Mathematics and information technologies, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, F. Hodjaev str. 29, 100125, Tashkent (Uzbekistan), e-mail: *sh_ayupov@mail.ru*

[3] Karakalpak state university, Ch. Abdirov str. 1, 742012, Nukus (Uzbekistan), e-mail: *karim2006@mail.ru*

[4] Institute of Mathematics and information technologies, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, F. Hodjaev str. 29, 100125, Tashkent (Uzbekistan), e-mail: *turaboy_kts@rambler.ru*

AMS Subject Classifications (2000): 46L57, 46L50, 46L55, 46L60

Key words: von Neumann algebras, non commutative integration, measurable operator, τ-compact operator, type I algebra, derivation, spatial derivation.

* Corresponding author

1. Introduction

Derivations on unbounded operator algebras, in particular on various algebras of measurable operators affiliated with von Neumann algebras, appear to be a very attractive special case of the general theory of unbounded derivations on operator algebras. The present paper continues the series of papers of the authors [1]-[4] devoted to the study and a description of derivation on the algebra $LS(M)$ of locally measurable operators with respect to a von Neumann algebra M and on various subalgebras of $LS(M)$.

Let A be an algebra over the complex number. A linear operator $D : A \to A$ is called a derivation if it satisfies the identity $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$ (Leibniz rule). Each element $a \in A$ defines a derivation D_a on A given as $D_a(x) = ax - xa$, $x \in A$. Such derivations D_a are said to be *inner derivations*. If the element a generating the derivation D_a on A, belongs to a larger algebra B, containing A (as a proper ideal as usual) then D_a is called a *spatial derivation*.

In the particular case where A is commutative, inner derivations are identically zero, i.e. trivial. One of the main problems in the theory of derivations is automatic innerness or spatialness of derivations and the existence of non inner derivations (in particular, non trivial derivations on commutative algebras).

On this way A. F. Ber, F. A. Sukochev, V. I. Chilin [5] obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of non trivial derivations on commutative regular algebras. In particular they have proved that the algebra $L^0(0,1)$ of all (classes of equivalence of) complex measurable functions on the interval $(0,1)$ admits non trivial derivations. Independently A. G. Kusraev [7] by means of Boolean-valued analysis has established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of non trivial derivations and automorphisms on extended f-algebras. In particular he has also proved the existence of non trivial derivations and automorphisms on $L^0(0,1)$. Later the authors initiated the study of these problems in the non commutative case [1]-[4], by considering derivations on the algebra $LS(M)$ of all locally measurable operators with respect to a semi-finite von Neumann algebra, and on various subalgebras of $LS(M)$. Thus in [1], [2] we obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for derivations to be inner on the algebra $LS(M)$ and its subalgebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ of τ-compact operators with respect to M, where M is a von Neumann algebra of type I with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Moreover in [4] we gave a complete descriptions of all derivations on the algebra $LS(M)$, for type I von Neumann algebra M. In [3] we have proved spatialness of all derivations on the non commutative Arens algebra $L^\omega(M,\tau)$ associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra M and a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ.

In the present paper which extends [4] we present a complete descriptions of derivations on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ of τ-compact operators affiliated with a type I von Neumann algebra M and a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ.

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. Consider a von Neumann algebra M in $B(H)$ with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Denote by $P(M)$ the lattice of projections in M.

A linear subspace $\mathcal{D}$ in H is said to be *affiliated* with M (denoted as $\mathcal{D}\eta M$), if $u(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ for every unitary u from the commutant

$$M' = \{y \in B(H) : xy = yx, \forall x \in M\}$$

of the von Neumann algebra M.

A linear operator x on H with the domain $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is said to be *affiliated* with M (denoted as $x\eta M$) if $\mathcal{D}(x)\eta M$ and $u(x(\xi)) = x(u(\xi))$ all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(x)$.

A linear subspace $\mathcal{D}$ in H is said to be *strongly dense* in H with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if

1) $\mathcal{D}\eta M$;

2) there exists a sequence of projections $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $P(M)$ such that $p_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$, $p_n(H) \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $p_n^{\perp} = \mathbf{1} - p_n$ is finite in M for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity in M.

A closed linear operator x acting in the Hilbert space H is said to be *measurable* with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if $x\eta M$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is strongly dense in H. Denote by $S(M)$ the set of all measurable operators affiliated with M.

A closed linear operator x in H is said to be *locally measurable* with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if $x\eta M$ and there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of central projections in M such that $z_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$ and $z_n x \in S(M)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is well-known [9] that the set $LS(M)$ of all locally measurable operators with respect to M is a unital *-algebra when equipped with the algebraic operations of strong addition and multiplication and taking the adjoint of an operator.

Let τ be a faithful normal semi-finite trace on M. Recall that a closed linear operator x is said to be *τ-measurable* with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if $x\eta M$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is τ-dense in H, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(x)\eta M$ and given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that $p(H) \subset \mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\tau(p^{\perp}) < \varepsilon$. The set $S(M,\tau)$ of all τ-measurable operators with respect to M is a solid *-algebra in $S(M)$ (see [9]).

An element x of the algebra $S(M,\tau)$ is said to be *τ-compact*, if given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a projection $p \in P(M)$ such that $\tau(p^{\perp}) < \infty$, $xp \in M$ and $\|xp\|_M < \varepsilon$. The set $S_0(M,\tau)$ of all τ-compact operators is a *-ideal in the algebra $S(M,\tau)$ (see [9]).

It is well-known [10] that every commutative von Neumann algebra M is *-isomorphic to the algebra $L^\infty(\Omega) = L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all (classes of equivalence of) complex essentially bounded measurable functions on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and in this case $LS(M) = S(M) \cong L^0(\Omega)$, where $L^0(\Omega) = L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ the algebra of all (classes of equivalence of) complex measurable functions on (Ω, Σ, μ).

Now let us recall some notions and results from the theory of Hilbert – Kaplansky modules (for details we refer to [8]).

Let H be a Hilbert space and denote by $L^0(\Omega, H)$ the space of all equivalence classes of measurable maps from Ω into H. Equipped with the $L^0(\Omega)$-valued inner product

$$\langle x, y\rangle = \langle x(\omega), y(\omega)\rangle_H,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle_H$ in the inner product in H, $L^0(\Omega, H)$ becomes a Hilbert – Kaplansky module over $L^0(\Omega)$. The space

$$L^\infty(\Omega, H) = \{x \in L^0(\Omega, H) : \langle x, x\rangle \in L^\infty(\Omega)\}$$

is a Hilbert – Kaplansky module over $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Denote by $B(L^0(\Omega, H))$ the algebra of all $L^0(\Omega)$-bounded $L^0(\Omega)$-linear operators on $L^0(\Omega, H)$ and denote by $B(L^\infty(\Omega, H))$ the algebra of all $L^\infty(\Omega)$-bounded $L^\infty(\Omega)$-linear operators on $L^\infty(\Omega, H)$.

Now consider a von Neumann algebra M which is homogeneous of type I_α with the center $L^\infty(\Omega)$, where α is a cardinal number. Then M is *-isomorpic to the algebra $B(L^\infty(\Omega, H))$, where $\dim H = \alpha$, while the algebra $LS(M)$ is *-isomorpic to $B(L^0(\Omega, H))$ (see for details [1]).

It is known [12] that given a type I von Neumann algebra M there exists a unique (cardinal-indexed) family of central orthogonal projections $(q_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$ in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ with $\sum\limits_{\alpha \in J} q_\alpha = \mathbf{1}$ such that $q_\alpha M$ is a homogeneous type I_α von Neumann algebra, i.e. $q_\alpha M \cong B(L^\infty(\Omega_\alpha, H_\alpha))$ with $\dim H_\alpha = \alpha$ and

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} B(L^\infty(\Omega_\alpha, H_\alpha))$$

($\cong$ denoting isomorphism). The direct product

$$\prod_{\alpha \in J} L^0(\Omega_\alpha, H_\alpha)$$

equipped with the coordinate-wise algebraic operations and inner product forms a Hilbert — Kaplansky module over $L^0(\Omega)$.

In [1] we have proved that for $M \cong \bigoplus\limits_{\alpha \in J} B(L^\infty(\Omega_\alpha, H_\alpha))$ the algebra $LS(M)$ is *-isomorpic with $B(\prod\limits_{\alpha \in J} L^0(\Omega_\alpha, H_\alpha))$. Therefore there exists a map $||\cdot|| : LS(M) \to L^0(\Omega)$

such that for all $x, y \in LS(M), \lambda \in L^0(\Omega)$ one has

$$||x|| \geq 0, ||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0;$$

$$||\lambda x|| = |\lambda| ||x||;$$

$$||x + y|| \leq ||x|| + ||y||;$$

$$||xx^*|| = ||x||^2;$$

$$||x^*|| = ||x||.$$

This map $|| \cdot || : LS(M) \to L^0(\Omega)$ is called the center-valued norm on $LS(M)$.

Finally we recall the following main result of the paper [2]:

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra with the center Z and a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Then every Z-linear derivation D on the algebra $S_0(M, \tau)$ is spatial, namely*

$$D(x) = ax - xa, \; x \in S_0(M, \tau)$$

for an appropriate operator $a \in S(M, \tau)$.

3. Derivations on the algebra $S_0(M, \tau)$

In this section we give the main result of the paper and describe derivations on the algebra $S_0(M, \tau)$ of all τ-compact operators for type I von Neumann algebra M with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. We shall do it step by step, considering separate cases.

A. The case of finite von Neumann algebras of type I.

Let N be a commutative von Neumann algebra, then $N \cong L^\infty(\Omega)$ for an appropriate measure space (Ω, Σ, μ). It has been proved in [5], [7] that the algebra $LS(N) = S(N) \cong L^0(\Omega)$ admits non trivial derivations if and only if the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is not atomic.

Let τ be a faithful normal semi-finite trace on the commutative von Neumann algebra N and suppose that the Boolean algebra $P(N)$ of projections is not atomic. This means that there exists a projection $z \in N$ with $\tau(z) < \infty$ such that the Boolean algebra of projection in zN is continuous (i.e. has no atom). Since $zS_0(N, \tau) = zS(N) \cong S(zN)$, the algebra $zS_0(N, \tau)$ admits a non trivial derivation D (see [5], [7]). Putting

$$D_0(x) = D(zx), \; x \in S_0(N, \tau)$$

we obtain a non trivial derivation on the algebra $S_0(N,\tau)$. Therefore, we have that if a commutative von Neumann algebra N has a non atomic Boolean algebra of projections then the algebra $S_0(N,\tau)$ admits a non zero derivation.

Given an arbitrary derivation $D : S_0(N,\tau) \to S_0(N,\tau)$ the element

$$z_D = \inf\{z \in P(N) : zD = D\}$$

is called the support of the operator D.

Lemma 3.1. *If N is a commutative von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ and $D : S_0(N,\tau) \to S_0(N,\tau)$ is a derivation, then $\tau(z_D) < \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose the opposite, i.e. $\tau(z_D) = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $z_n \in N$, $n = 1, 2...$, with $z_n \le z_D$, $1 \le \tau(z_n) < \infty$. For $z = \sup\limits_n z_n$ we have $\tau(z) = \infty$. Since $\tau(z_n) < \infty$ for all $n = 1, 2...$, it follows that $z_n S_0(N,\tau) = z_n S(N) \cong S(z_n N)$. Define a derivation $D_n : z_n S(N) \to z_n S(N)$ by

$$D_n(x) = z_n D(x),\ x \in z_n S(N).$$

Since $z_n S(N) \cong S(z_n N)$ and $z_{D_n} = z_n$, [4, Lemma 3.2] implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an element $\lambda_n \in z_n N$ such that $|\lambda_n| \le n^{-1} z_n$ and $|D_n(\lambda_n)| \ge z_n$.

Put $\lambda = \sum \lambda_n$. Then $|\lambda| \le \sum\limits_n n^{-1} z_n$ and therefore $\lambda \in S_0(N,\tau)$. On other hand

$$|D(\lambda)| = |D(\sum \lambda_n)| = |D(\sum z_n\lambda_n)| = |\sum z_n D(\lambda_n)| = \sum |D_n(\lambda_n)| \ge \sum z_n = z,$$

i.e. $|D(\lambda)| \ge z$. But $\tau(z) = \infty$, i.e. $z \notin S_0(N,\tau)$. Therefore $D(\lambda) \notin S_0(N,\tau)$. The contradiction shows that $\tau(z_D) < \infty$. The proof is complete. ■

For general (non commutative) finite type I von Neumann algebras we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a finite von Neumann algebra of type I with the center Z and let $D : S_0(M,\tau) \to S_0(M,\tau)$ be a derivation. If $D(\lambda) = 0$ for every λ from the center $Z(S_0(M,\tau))$ of $S_0(M,\tau)$, then D is Z-linear.*

Proof. Take $\lambda \in Z$ and choose a central projection z in M with $\tau(z) < \infty$. Since $z,\ z\lambda \in Z(S_0(M,\tau))$, we have that $D(z) = D(z\lambda) = 0$.

For $x \in S_0(M,\tau)$ one has

$$D(z\lambda x) = D(z\lambda)x + z\lambda D(x) = z\lambda D(x),$$

i.e.

$$D(z\lambda x) = z\lambda D(x).$$

On the other hand

$$D(z\lambda x) = D(z)\lambda x + zD(\lambda x) = zD(\lambda x),$$

i.e.

$$D(z\lambda x) = zD(\lambda x).$$

Therefore $zD(\lambda x) = z\lambda D(x)$. Since z is an arbitrary with $\tau(z) < \infty$ this implies (taking $z \uparrow \mathbf{1}$) that $D(\lambda x) = \lambda D(x)$ for all $\lambda \in Z$ and $x \in S_0(M, \tau)$, i.e. D is Z-linear. The proof is complete. ■

Now let M be a homogeneous von Neumann algebra of type $I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with the center Z and with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Then the algebra M is *-isomorphic with the algebra $M_n(Z)$ of all $n \times n$- matrices over Z, and the algebra $S_0(M, \tau)$ is *-isomorphic with the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ of all $n \times n$ matrices over $S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$, where τ_Z is the restriction of the trace τ onto the center Z.

If $e_{i,j}$, $i, j = \overline{1, n}$, are the matrix units in $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$, then each element $x \in M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ has the form

$$x = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,j} e_{i,j},\ \lambda_{i,j} \in S_0(Z, \tau_Z),\ i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Let $\delta : S_0(Z, \tau_Z) \to S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$ be a derivation and let z_δ be its support. By Lemma 3.1 we have $\tau(z_\delta) < \infty$, and therefore $z_\delta S_0(M, \tau) = z_\delta S(M)$. Thus given an arbitrary $\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,j} e_{i,j} \in M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ one has

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \delta(\lambda_{i,j}) e_{i,j} = z_\delta \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \delta(\lambda_{i,j}) e_{i,j} \in z_\delta M_n(S(Z)) = z_\delta M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z)) \subset M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z)).$$

This implies that by putting

$$D_\delta(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,j} e_{i,j}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \delta(\lambda_{i,j}) e_{i,j} \tag{1}$$

we obtain a well-defined linear operator D_δ on the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$. Moreover D_δ is a derivation on the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ and its restriction onto the center of the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ coincides with the given δ.

Now let the trace τ be finite. Then $S_0(M, \tau) = S(M, \tau) = S(M)$. Consider a family $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent abelian projections in the von Neumann algebra M of type I_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $e = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i$. Then eMe is a von Neumann algebra of type I_{n-1}, and

$$S_0(Z, \tau_Z) \cong Z(eS_0(M, \tau)e) \cong Z(S_0(M, \tau)).$$

Remark 1. From now on we shall identify these isomorphic abelian von Neumann algebras. In this case the element λ from $S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$ corresponds to λe from $Z(eS_0(M, \tau)e)$ and to $\lambda \mathbf{1}$ from $Z(S_0(M, \tau))$.

Consider a derivation D on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$. Since D maps $Z(S_0(M,\tau))$ into itself, its restriction $D|_{Z(S_0(M,\tau))}$ induces a derivation δ on $S_0(Z,\tau_Z)\cong Z(S_0(M,\tau))$, i.e.

$$D(\lambda\mathbf{1})=\delta(\lambda)\mathbf{1},\ \lambda\in S_0(Z,\tau_Z).$$

Let D_e be the derivation on $eS_0(M,\tau)e$ defined as

$$D_e(x)=eD(x)e,\ x\in eS_0(M,\tau)e.$$

Since $Z(eS_0(M,\tau)e)\ \cong\ Z(S_0(M,\tau))$, the restriction of D_e onto $Z(eS_0(M,\tau)e)$ also generates a derivation, denoted by δ_e, on $S_0(Z,\tau_Z)$, i.e.

$$D_e(\lambda e)=\delta_e(\lambda)e,\ \lambda\in S_0(Z,\tau_Z).$$

Lemma 3.3. *The derivations δ and δ_e on $S_0(Z,\tau_Z)$ coincide.*

Proof. Since e is a projection it is clear that $eD(e)e=0$ and therefore

$$\delta_e(\lambda)e=D_e(\lambda e)=eD(\lambda e)e=eD(\lambda\mathbf{1})e+e\lambda D(e)e=eD(\lambda\mathbf{1})e=\delta(\lambda)e,$$

i.e.

$$\delta_e(\lambda)e=\delta(\lambda)e$$

for any $\lambda\in S_0(M,\tau)$. Therefore (see Remark 1) $\delta_e(\lambda)=\delta(\lambda)$, i.e. $\delta\equiv\delta_e$. The proof is complete. ■

The following lemma describes derivations on the algebra of τ-compact operators for type $I_n(n\in\mathbb{N})$ von Neumann algebras.

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be a homogenous von Neumann algebra of type $I_n, n\in\mathbb{N}$, with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Every derivation D on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ can be uniquely represented as a sum*

$$D=D_a+D_\delta,$$

where D_a is a spatial derivation implemented by an element $a\in S(M,\tau)$ while D_δ is the derivation of the form (1) generated by a derivation δ on the center of $S_0(M,\tau)$ identified with $S_0(Z,\tau_Z)$.

Proof. Let D be an arbitrary derivation on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)\cong M_n(S_0(Z,\tau_Z))$. Consider its restriction δ onto the center $S_0(Z,\tau_Z)$ of this algebra, and let D_δ be the derivation on the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z,\tau_Z))$ constructed as in (1). Put $D_1=D-D_\delta$. Given any $\lambda\in S_0(Z,\tau_Z)$ we have

$$D_1(\lambda)=D(\lambda)-D_\delta(\lambda)=D(\lambda)-D(\lambda)=0,$$

i.e. D_1 is identically zero on $S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$. By Lemma 3.2 D_1 is Z-linear and by theorem 2.1 we obtain that D_1 is spatial derivation and thus $D_1 = D_a$ for an appropriate $a \in M_n(S(Z, \tau_Z))$. Therefore $D = D_a + D_\delta$.

Suppose that

$$D = D_{a_1} + D_{\delta_1} = D_{a_2} + D_{\delta_2}.$$

Then $D_{a_1} - D_{a_2} = D_{\delta_2} - D_{\delta_1}$. Since $D_{a_1} - D_{a_2}$ is identically zero on the center of the algebra $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$ this implies that $D_{\delta_2} - D_{\delta_1}$ is also identically zero on the center of $M_n(S_0(Z, \tau_Z))$. This means that $\delta_1 = \delta_2$, and therefore $D_{a_1} = D_{a_2}$, i.e. the decomposition of D is unique. The proof is complete. ■

Now let M be an arbitrary finite von Neumann algebra of type I with the center Z. There exists a family $\{z_n\}_{n \in F}$, $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of central projections from M with $\sup\limits_{n \in F} z_n = \mathbf{1}$ such that the algebra M is *-isomorphic with the C^*-product of von Neumann algebras $z_n M$ of type I_n respectively, $n \in F$, i.e.

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{n \in F} z_n M.$$

In this case we have that

$$S_0(M, \tau) \subseteq \prod_{n \in F} S_0(z_n M, \tau_n),$$

where τ_n is the restriction of the trace τ onto $z_n M$, $n \in F$.

Suppose that D is a derivation on $S_0(M, \tau)$, and δ is its restriction onto its center $S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$. Since δ maps each $z_n S_0(Z, \tau_Z)) \cong Z(S_0(z_n M, \tau_n))$ into itself, δ generates a derivation δ_n on $z_n S_0(Z, \tau_Z)$ for each $n \in F$.

Let D_{δ_n} be the derivation on the matrix algebra $M_n(z_n Z(S_0(M, \tau))) \cong S_0(z_n M, \tau_n)$ defined as in (1). Put

$$D_\delta(\{x_n\}_{n \in F}) = \{D_{\delta_n}(x_n)\}, \ \{x_n\}_{n \in F} \in S_0(M, \tau). \tag{2}$$

Then the map D is a derivation on $S_0(M, \tau)$.

Now Lemma 3.4 implies the following result:

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be a finite von Neumann algebra of type I with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Each derivation D on the algebra $S_0(M, \tau)$ can be uniquely represented in the form*

$$D = D_a + D_\delta,$$

where D_a is a spatial derivation implemented by an element $a \in S(M, \tau)$, and D_δ is a derivation given as (2).

B. The case of type I_∞ von Neumann algebras.

We shall start the consideration of this case by the description of the center of the algebra of τ-compact operators with respect to type I_∞ von Neumann algebras.

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra with the center Z. Then*

a) the centers of the algebras $S(M)$ and $S(M,\tau)$ coincide with Z;

b) the center of the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ is trivial, i.e. $Z(S_0(M,\tau)) = \{0\}$.

Proof. a) Suppose that $z \in S(M),\ z \geq 0$, is a central element and let $z = \int\limits_0^\infty \lambda\, de_\lambda$ be its spectral resolution. Then $e_\lambda \in Z$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Assume that $e_n^\perp \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since M is of type I_∞, Z does not contain non-zero finite projections. Thus $e_n^\perp$ is infinite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts the condition $z \in S(M)$. Therefore there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e_n^\perp = 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, i.e. $z \leq n_0\mathbf{1}$. This means that $z \in Z$, i.e. $Z(S(M)) = Z$. Similarly $Z(S(M,\tau)) = Z$.

b) Let $z \in Z(S_0(M,\tau)),\ z \geq 0$. Take a projection $p \in M$ with $\tau(p) < \infty$. Then $p \in S_0(M,\tau)$ and therefore $zp = pz$. Since M is semi-finite this implies that $zp = pz$ for all $p \in P(M)$. Since the linear span of $P(M)$ is dense in $S(M,\tau)$ in the measure topology, we have that $zx = xz$ for all $x \in S(M,\tau)$, i.e. $z \in Z(S(M,\tau)) = Z$.

Suppose that $z = \int\limits_0^\infty \lambda\, de_\lambda$ is the spectral resolution of z. Then $e_\lambda \in Z$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Since $z \in S_0(M,\tau)$ we have that $e_{\lambda_0}^\perp$ is a finite projection for all $\lambda_0 > 0$. But M does not contain any non zero central finite projection, because it is of type I_∞. Therefore $e_\lambda^\perp = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$, i.e. $z = 0$. Thus $Z(S_0(M,\tau)) = \{0\}$. The proof is complete. ■

It should be noted that the center of the algebra $LS(M)$, for a general von Neumann algebra M coincides with $LS(Z)$ and thus contains Z. This was an essential point in the proof of theorems concerning the description of derivations on the algebra $LS(M)$ of locally measurable operators with respect to type I von Neumann algebras M (see [4]). Lemma 3.6 shows that this is not the case for the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ because the center of this algebra may be trivial. Thus the methods of the paper [4] can not be directly applied for the description of derivations of the algebra of τ-compact operators with respect to type I von Neumann algebras.

We are now in position to prove one of the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3.7. *If M is a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ, then every derivation on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ is spatial and implemented by an element of the algebra $S(M,\tau)$.*

The proof of the theorem consists of several lemmata.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $z \in Z$ be a central projection from M and let $x \in S_0(M,\tau)$. Then*

$$D(zx) = zD(x).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $x \geq 0$, i.e. $x = y^2$ for some $y \in S_0(M, \tau)$. From the Leibniz rule for derivations we obtain

$$D(zx) = D(zyzy) = D(zy)zy + zyD(zy) = z[D(zy)y + yD(zy)].$$

Therefore

$$z^{\perp}D(zx) = 0.$$

Similarly we have that

$$zD(z^{\perp}x) = 0.$$

Further

$$zD(x) = zD((z + z^{\perp})x) = zD(zx) + zD(z^{\perp}x) = zD(zx),$$

i.e.

$$zD(x) = zD(zx).$$

On the other hand

$$D(zx) = (z + z^{\perp})D(zx) = zD(zx) + z^{\perp}D(zx) = zD(zx),$$

i.e.

$$D(zx) = zD(zx).$$

Therefore

$$D(zx) = zD(x).$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that* $\lambda \in Z$, $p \in P(M)$, $\tau(p) < \infty$. *Put* $y = D(\lambda p) - \lambda D(p)$. *Then*

$$p^{\perp}yp^{\perp} = 0.$$

Proof. From

$$D(p) = D(pp) = D(p)p + pD(p)$$

and

$$D(\lambda p) = D(\lambda pp) = D(\lambda p)p + \lambda pD(p)$$

we obtain

$$p^{\perp}D(\lambda p)p^{\perp} = p^{\perp}\lambda D(p)p^{\perp} = 0$$

and in particular $p^{\perp}yp^{\perp} = 0$. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.10. *For each* $\lambda \in Z$ *and for every abelian projection* $p \in P(M)$ *with* $\tau(p) < \infty$ *we have*

$$D(\lambda p) = \lambda D(p).$$

Proof. Let z be the central cover of the projection p, (i.e. the least central projection majorating p). Lemma 3.8 implies that the derivation D maps the algebra $zS_0(M,\tau)$ into itself. Therefore passing if necessary to the algebra zM and to the derivation zD we may assume without loss of generality that $z = \mathbf{1}$, i.e. that p is a faithful projection. Take an arbitrary projection p_0 with the central cover $\mathbf{1}$ such that $p_0 \leq p^{\perp}$ and such that the von Neumann algebra p_0Mp_0 is of type $\mathrm{I}_{\aleph_0}$, where $\aleph_0$ is the countable cardinal number. Then there exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal and pairwise equivalent abelian projections $\{p_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ in M with $\sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n = p_0$. Putting $p_1 = p$ we obtain that projections p_1 and p_2 are equivalent $(p_1 \sim p_n)$ and thus $\tau(p_n) = \tau(p_1) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Put $e_n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} p_k,\ n \geq 1$. Then e_nMe_n is a homogeneous von Neumann algebra of type $I_n,\ n \geq 1$, and the restriction τ_n of the trace τ onto e_nMe_n is finite, and therefore $e_nS_0(M,\tau)e_n \cong S(e_nMe_n)$, $n = 1, 2....$

Define a derivation D_n on $e_nS_0(M,\tau)e_n$ as follows

$$D_n(x) = e_nD(x)e_n,\ x \in e_nS_0(M,\tau)e_n.$$

By lemma 3.4 for each n there exist an element $a_n \in e_nS_0(M,\tau)e_n$ and a derivation δ_n on $e_1S_0(M,\tau)e_1$ identified with $Z(e_nS_0(M,\tau)e_n)$ (see Remark 1) such that

$$D_n = D_{a_n} + D_{\delta_n}. \tag{3}$$

Since $D_n = e_nD_{n+1}e_n$ lemma 3.3 implies that $\delta_n = \delta_{n+1},\ n \geq 1$. Denote $\delta = \delta_n$.

Given a sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ in Z with $|\lambda_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1},\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$x_\Lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n p_n.$$

Let us show that $x_\Lambda \in S_0(M,\tau)$.

For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$. Put

$$p_\varepsilon = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} p_n,$$

then $\tau(p_\varepsilon^{\perp}) = \tau(\sum\limits_{n=1}^{n_0-1} p_n) = (n_0 - 1)\tau(p_1) < \infty$. Moreover

$$||x_\Lambda p_\varepsilon||_M = ||\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \lambda_n p_n||_M = \sup_{n \geq n_0} ||\lambda_n||_M \leq \frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon.$$

This means that $x_\Lambda \in S_0(M,\tau)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$x_\Lambda p_n = p_n x_\Lambda = \lambda_n p_n.$$

By the Leibniz identity for derivations this implies

$$D(\lambda_n p_n) = D(x_\Lambda)p_n + x_\Lambda D(p_n).$$

Multiplying by p_n from both sides we obtain

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = p_n D(x_\Lambda)p_n p_n + p_n x_\Lambda D(p_n)p_n,$$

i.e.

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = p_n D(x_\Lambda)p_n + \lambda_n p_n D(p_n)p_n,$$

Therefore

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = p_n D(x_\Lambda)p_n \tag{4}$$

because $pD(p)p = 0$ for every projection $p \in M$.

On the other hand

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = p_n e_n D(\lambda_n p_n)e_n p_n = p_n D_n(\lambda_n p_n)p_n.$$

From (3) we obtain

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = p_n D_{a_n}(\lambda_n p_n)p_n + p_n D_\delta(\lambda_n p_n)p_n.$$

Since D_{a_n} is a spatial derivation (and hence it is Z-linear), we have that

$$p_n D_{a_n}(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = \lambda_n p_n D_{a_n}(p_n)p_n = 0.$$

From

$$p_n D_\delta(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = \delta(\lambda_n)p_n,$$

we obtain

$$p_n D(\lambda_n p_n)p_n = \delta(\lambda_n)p_n. \tag{5}$$

Now (4) and (5) imply

$$p_n D(x_\Lambda)p_n = \delta(\lambda_n)p_n.$$

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then [4, Lemma 3.2] implies the existence of a sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ in Z with $|\lambda_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1},\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a projection $\pi \in Z$, $\pi \neq 0$ such that

$$|\delta(\lambda_n)| \geq n\pi,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Further

$$||p_n D(x_\Lambda)p_n|| \leq ||p_n||||D(x_\Lambda)||||p_n|| = ||D(x_\Lambda)||$$

and

$$||\delta(\lambda_n)p_n|| = |\delta(\lambda_n)|.$$

Therefore

$$||D(x_\Lambda)|| \geq |\delta(\lambda_n)|,$$

and hence

$$||D(x_\Lambda)|| \geq \pi n, \ n \geq 2.$$

The last inequality contradicts the choice of $\pi \neq 0$. Therefore $\delta \equiv 0$, i.e. from (3) we obtain that $D_n = D_{a_n}$. Since D_{a_n} is a spatial derivation and the center of the algebra $Z(e_nMe_n)$ coincides with e_nZ, it follows that D_n is e_nZ-linear. Thus

$$D_n(\lambda e_npe_n) = \lambda e_nD_n(e_npe_n) \tag{6}$$

for all $\lambda \in Z$. Since the projection e_n is in $S_0(M, \tau)$ and it commutes with p we have

$$D_n(e_npe_n) = D_n(e_np) = e_nD(e_np)e_n = e_nD(e_n)pe_n + e_nD(p)e_n =$$

$$= e_nD(e_n)e_np + e_nD(p)e_n = e_nD(p)e_n,$$

i.e.

$$\lambda D_n(e_npe_n) = \lambda e_nD(p)e_n. \tag{7}$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$D_n(\lambda e_npe_n) = e_nD(\lambda p)e_n. \tag{8}$$

Now (6), (7) and (8) imply

$$e_nD(\lambda p)e_n = e_n\lambda D(p)e_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $y = D(\lambda p) - \lambda D(p)$. Then $e_nye_n = 0$. From $e_1 = p_1 = p$, we have $pyp = 0$. By Lemma 3.9 we have $p^\perp yp^\perp = 0$. Multiplying the equality $e_nye_n = 0$ by p from the left side we obtain $pye_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $e_n \uparrow p_0 + p$, it follows that $py(p_0 + p) = 0$, i.e. $pyp_0 = 0$. Since p_0 is an arbitrary projection with the central cover $\mathbf{1}$ such that $p_0 \leq p^\perp$ and such that the von Neumann algebra p_0Mp_0 is of type $\mathrm{I}_{\aleph_0}$, we obtain that $pyp^\perp = 0$.

Similarly $p^\perp yp = 0$. Therefore

$$pyp = pyp^\perp = p^\perp yp = p^\perp yp^\perp = 0$$

and hence

$$y = pyp + pyp^\perp + p^\perp yp + p^\perp yp^\perp,$$

i.e. $D(\lambda p) = \lambda D(p)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose that $\lambda \in Z$ and $x \in S_0(M,\tau)$. Then*

$$D(\lambda x) = \lambda D(x).$$

Proof. Case (i). $x = p$ is a projection and

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i, \tag{9}$$

where p_i, $i = \overline{1,k}$ are mutually orthogonal abelian projections with $\tau(p_i) < \infty$. By Lemma 3.10 we have $D(\lambda p_i) = \lambda D(p_i)$. Therefore

$$D(\lambda p) = D(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} D(\lambda p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda D(p_i) = \lambda D(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i) = \lambda D(p),$$

i.e.

$$D(\lambda p) = \lambda D(p).$$

Case (ii). $x = p$ is a projection with $\tau(p) < \infty$. Then pMp is a finite von Neumann algebra of type I, and therefore there exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal central projections $\{z_n\}$ such that each $p_n = z_n p$ is a projection of the form (9). From the above case we have $D(\lambda p_n) = \lambda D(p_n)$. This and Lemma 3.8 imply that

$$z_n D(\lambda p) = D(\lambda z_n p) = D(\lambda p_n) = \lambda D(p_n) = \lambda D(z_n p) = \lambda z_n D(p).$$

i.e.

$$z_n D(\lambda p) = z_n \lambda D(p)$$

for all n. Therefore

$$D(\lambda p) = \lambda D(p)$$

Case (iii). Let $x \in S_0(M,\tau)$ be an element such that $xp = x$ for some projection p with $\tau(p) < \infty$. Then

$$D(\lambda x) = D(\lambda xp) = D(x\lambda p) = D(x)\lambda p + xD(\lambda p) =$$

$$= D(x)\lambda p + x\lambda D(p) = \lambda(D(x)p + xD(p) = \lambda D(xp) = \lambda D(x),$$

i.e. $D(\lambda x) = \lambda D(x)$.

Case (iv). x is an arbitrary element from $S_0(M,\tau)$. Take a projection p with $\tau(p) < \infty$. Put $x_0 = xp$. From the case (iii) we have $D(\lambda x_0) = \lambda D(x_0)$. Now one has

$$D(\lambda x_0) = D(\lambda xp) = D(\lambda x)p + \lambda x D(p),$$

i.e.

$$D(\lambda x)p = D(\lambda x_0) - \lambda x D(p).$$

On the other hand

$$D(\lambda x_0) = \lambda D(x_0) = \lambda D(xp) = \lambda D(x)p + \lambda x D(p),$$

i.e.

$$\lambda D(x)p = D(\lambda x_0) - \lambda x D(p).$$

Therefore $\lambda D(x)p = D(\lambda x)p$. Since p is an arbitrary with $\tau(p) < \infty$, this implies

$$D(\lambda x) = \lambda D(x).$$

The proof is complete. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.7.

By Lemma 3.11 the derivation $D : S_0(M,\tau) \to S_0(M,\tau)$ is Z-linear. By Theorem 2.1 D is spatial and moreover

$$D(x) = ax - xa,\ x \in S_0(M,\tau)$$

for an appropriate $a \in S(M,\tau)$. The proof is complete. ■

C. The general case of type I von Neumann algebras.

Now we can obtain a complete description of derivations on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$ of τ-compact operators with respect to a general type I von Neumann algebra M with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ.

Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra. There exists a central projection $z_0 \in M$ such that

a) $z_0 M$ is a finite von Neumann algebra;

b) $z_0^{\perp} M$ is a von Neumann algebra of type I_∞.

Now consider a derivation D on $S_0(M,\tau)$ and let δ be its restriction onto its center $Z(S_0(M,\tau))$. By Lemma 3.6 we have $z_0^{\perp} Z(S_0(M,\tau)) = \{0\}$, and therefore $z_0^{\perp}\delta \equiv 0$, i.e. $\delta = z_0\delta$.

Let D_δ be the derivation on $z_0 S_0(M,\tau)$ defined as in (2) and let us extend it to whole on $S_0(M,\tau) = z_0 S_0(M,\tau) \oplus z_0^{\perp} S_0(M,\tau)$ by putting

$$D_\delta(x_1 + x_2) := D_\delta(x_1),\ x_1 \in z_0 S_0(M,\tau), x_2 \in z_0^{\perp} S_0(M,\tau). \tag{10}$$

The following theorem is the main result of the present paper, which gives the general form of derivations on the algebra $S_0(M,\tau)$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Each derivation D on $S_0(M,\tau)$ can be uniquely represented in the form*

$$D = D_a + D_\delta, \tag{11}$$

where D_a is a spatial derivation implemented by an element $a \in S(M,\tau)$, and D_δ is a derivation of the form (10), generated by a derivation δ on the center of $S_0(M,\tau)$.

Proof. It immediately follows from Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.7. ■

D. An application to the description of cohomology groups.

Let A be an algebra. Denote by $Der(A)$ the space of all derivations (in fact it is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator), and denote by $InDer(A)$ the subspace of all inner derivations on A (it is a Lie ideal in $Der(A)$).

The factor-space $H^1(A) = Der(A)/InDer(A)$ is called the first cohomology group of the algebra A (see [6]). It is clear that $H^1(A)$ measures how much the space of all derivations on A differs from the space on inner derivations.

Further we need the following property of the algebra of τ-compact operators from [11]:

$$S(M,\tau) = M + S_0(M,\tau). \tag{12}$$

Set $C(M,\tau) = M \cap S_0(M,\tau)$ and consider $M/(C(M,\tau) + Z)$ – the factor space of M with respect to the space $C(M,\tau) + Z$.

For $D_1, D_2 \in Der(S_0(M,\tau))$ put

$$D_1 \sim D_2 \Leftrightarrow D_1 - D_2 \in InDer(S_0(M,\tau)).$$

Let $D_1 \sim D_2$. From Theorem 3.12 these derivations can be represented in the form (11):

$$D_1 = D_a + D_\delta,\ D_2 = D_b + D_\sigma.$$

Since $D_1 - D_2 = D_c$, where $c \in S_0(M,\tau) \subset S(M,\tau)$, from the uniqueness of a representation in the form (11) it follows that $D_a - D_b \in InDer(S_0(M,\tau))$ and $D_\delta = D_\sigma$. Therefore $\delta \equiv \sigma$ and

$$a - b \in S_0(M,\tau) + Z(S(M,\tau)). \tag{13}$$

According to (12) we have

$$a = a_1 + a_2, a_1 \in M,\ a_2 \in S_0(M,\tau),$$

$$b = b_1 + b_2, b_1 \in M,\ b_2 \in S_0(M,\tau).$$

From (13) it follows that

$$a_1 - b_1 \in (b_2 - a_2) + S_0(M,\tau) + Z(S(M,\tau)) \subset S_0(M,\tau) + Z(S(M,\tau)).$$

Since $a_1, b_1 \in M$, we have that

$$a_1 - b_1 \in (S_0(M,\tau) + Z(S(M,\tau))) \cap M \subset C(M,\tau) + Z$$

because $Z(S(M,\tau)) \cap M = Z$ (cf. Lemma 3.6). Therefore

$$D_1 \sim D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1 - b_1 \in C(M,\tau) + Z,\, \delta \equiv \sigma.$$

Thus we have following result.

Theorem 3.13. *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra with the center Z and with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ. Suppose that z_0 is a central projection such that z_0M is a finite von Neumann algebra, and $z_0^{\perp}M$ is of type I_∞. Then the group $H^1(S_0(M,\tau))$ is isomorphic with the group $M/(C(M,\tau)+Z) \oplus H^1(S_0(z_0Z,\tau_0))$, where τ_0 is the restriction of τ onto z_0Z. In particular*

a) if the trace τ is finite then $H^1(S_0(M,\tau)) \cong H^1(S_0(z_0Z,\tau_0))$;

b) if M is of type I_∞, then $H^1(S_0(M,\tau)) \cong M/(C(M,\tau)+Z)$.

Acknowledgments. *The second and third named authors would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the "Institut für Angewandte Mathematik", Universität Bonn (Germany). This work is supported in part by the DFG 436 USB 113/10/0-1 project (Germany) and the Fundamental Research Foundation of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences.*

References

[1] Albeverio S., Ayupov Sh. A., Kudaybergenov, K. K. Derivations on the algebra of measurable operators affiliated with a type I von Neumann algebra // Siberian Advances in Math.— 2008.— V. 18.— no 2.— P. 86–94.

[2] Albeverio S., Ayupov Sh. A., Kudaybergenov, K. K. Derivations on the algebra of τ-compact operators affiliated with a type I von Neumann algebra // Positivity.— 2008.— V. 12. — P. 375–386.

[3] Albeverio S., Ayupov Sh. A., Kudaybergenov, K. K. Non commutative Arens algebras and their derivations // J. Func. Anal.—2007.—V. 253.— no 1.—P. 287–302.

[4] Albeverio S., Ayupov Sh. A., Kudaybergenov, K. K. Description of derivations on measurable operator algebras of type I // SFB 611, Universitat Bonn, Preprint,— 2007.— no 361.

[5] Ber A. F., Chilin V. I., Sukochev F. A. Non-trivial derivation on commutative regular algebras // Extracta mathematicae,—2006.— V. 21.— no 2.—P. 107–147.

[6] Dales H. G. Banach algebras and automatic continuity. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 2000.

[7] Kusraev A. G. Automorphisms and Derivations on a Universally Complete Complex f-Algebra, Sib. Math. Jour. – 2006, – V. 47, – No 2, – P. 77-85.

[8] Kusraev A. G., Dominated Operators, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.

[9] Muratov M. A., Chilin V. I., *-algebras of unbounded operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra, Zapiski Nauchnyh Seminarov POMI, – 2005, – V. 326, – P. 183-197.

[10] Segal I. A non-commutative extension of abstract integral // Ann. Math.—1953.— V. 57.—P. 401–457.

[11] A. Stroh, G. P. West, τ-compact operators affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra, Proc. Royal Irish Acad., 93 (1993) 73-86.

[12] Takesaki M. Theory of operator algebras. V. I.— New York: Springer, 1979. — 415 p.